

Remarks/Arguments

A new Abstract has been provided, as required by the Examiner.

Claims 21, 24, and 25 have been amended to address the section 112 issues raised by the Examiner.

The Examiner indicated claim 4 was allowable, if re-written in independent form. By way of this response, claim 4 has been so re-written. That being so, it is submitted that claim 4 is in condition for allowance.

The Examiner indicated claim 9 was allowable if re-written in independent form. By way of this response, claim 1 has been amended to present original claim 9 in independent form. It is therefore submitted that claim 1, and the claims which depend thereon – claims 2, 3, 5 to 7 and 10 to 21 – are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner indicated claim 22 was allowable, if re-written in independent form. By way of this response, claim 22 has been so re-written. That being so, it is submitted that claim 22 and the claims which depend thereon – claims 9 and 23 to 28 – are in condition for allowance.

Serial No. 10/696,193
Group Art Unit: 3636
Amdt. Dated November 22, 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 25, 2004

- Page 9 -

In view of the foregoing, early favourable consideration of this application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald D. Faggetter
Registration No. 33,345

FETHERSTONHAUGH & CO.
438 University Avenue
Suite 1500, Box 111
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M5G 2K8
Telephone: (416) 598-4209
Facsimile: (416) 591-1690

November 22, 2004

Enclosure
(05171-140 RDF:bw)